

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,764	05/04/2001	Sakae Ishikawa	207187US2	7828
22850	7590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			BUTLER, MICHAEL E	
	NA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	*
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/848,764	ISHAKAWA	4
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Butler	3653	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI date of this communication, even if timely filed	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communica D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/23/			
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	ce except for formal matters, pro x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to the merits 3 O.G. 213.	s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-71 is/are pending in the a	pplication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-71 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) -acce	pted or b) \square objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have been receive		
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			ation)
since a specific reference was included in the first	sentence of the specification or	in an Application Data S	heet.
37 CFR 1.78.			
a) The translation of the foreign language prov	= =		_
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	e specification or in an Application	and/or 121 since a speci n Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.	fic 78.
Attachment(s)	_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		PTO-413) Paper No(s)	.•
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 15	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)	
	<u></u> . 0) 🗀 Oulei		
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Office Act	ion Summary	. Part of Paper No. 08232	2004

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Applicant's claims of priority to Japanese applications: 2001-47288 filed
 2/22/01; 2000-356640 filed 11/22/00; 2000-135235 filed 5/18/00.

Election/Restriction

2. Applicant's election of invention I without traverse in Paper No. 12 was acknowledged and made final. Applicant's election of Species I with traverse in Paper No. 12 is acknowledged and the species requirement is made final. Applicant identified claims 1-11 as reading on the elected species.

MPEP § 816 relates to patentably distinct inventions, not species.

Applicant asserts no mutually exclusive species have been identified:

Applicant per MPEP § 806.04(f), there was no identification of mutually exclusive species. Same time and different time are clearly mutually exclusive times.

Same location and different locations are clearly mutually exclusive. Same type product and differing type product are mutually exclusive products.

Applicant argues there would be no undue burden to all claimed species.

However, burden is a restriction requirement element, not a species requirement element.

IDS

3. The information disclosure statement filed 5/21/01 to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1), which requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Art Unit: 3653

4. The information disclosure statement # 15/17 filed 12/17/03 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language, and because it fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) because it does not include a copy of each cited reference. The US references have been printed and considered, but the Japanese cited references have not been considered. They were placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. Claims 1-2 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Knudsen, Jr. which discloses:

(Re: cl 1) A specification unit 25 configured to specify a plurality of first rack components required to assemble rack and those not required for first rack yet required for second rack (c9 L 29-c10 L 13; c4 L 14-30); Instruction unit configured to provide delivery procedure for second article (c12 L 6-45);

(Re: cl 2) pallet with top and plurality of supports or shock absorbers (c5 L 1-29) (Re: cl 7) third rack (c8 L 18-34)

Art Unit: 3653

(Re: cl 5) instruction unit includes confirmation unit; rack collection center (c10 L 58-67)

(Re: cl 6) if confirmed no stocking of second rack component at rack collection center instruction unit instructs management center to deliver rack (c7 L 41-c8 L 43)

(Re: cl 8) instruction unit includes first and second instruction units (c7 L 41-c8 L 17; 25/33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudsen, Jr. in view of Otsuka et al. wherein Knudsen, Jr. discloses the elements previously discussed and Otsuka et al. discloses:

(Re: cl 3) system sales use warehouse; rack assembled with components production site (c5 L 1-35)

(Re: cl4) instruction unit instructs management center to deliver rack (c5 L 1-35).

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to move production task completed articles to storage warehouse to have them out of the way till ready for further work or shipment as taught by Otsuka et al..

9. Claims 1-2 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knudsen, Jr. wherein Knudsen, Jr. discloses the elements previously discussed.

The examiner takes official notice that it is well known to transport computer systems together including image forming apparatus such as computer systems with printers with monitors and memory storage devices. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to transport permutations of printers, monitors, and computer

Art Unit: 3653

together on a rack as these components are regularly used and sold together and there

common transfer would help users get the components together and come up with the

instant inventions.

Response to Amendments/Arguments

10. Applicant's amendment has overcome the indefiniteness and so the rejections

under 35 USC 112 second paragraph are withdrawn. The applicant's arguments have

been fully considered but they are unpersuasive in overcoming the prior art rejections.

Knudson, Jr. has items specified for the order for assembly in the rack. There is not a

claim limitation for common components in both a first rack and a second rack.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Exmr. Michael E. Butler whose telephone number is

(703) 308-8344.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Donald Walsh, can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax number for the

Group is (703) 305-7687.

Michael & Buth

Michael E. Butler

Supervisory patent examiner Technology center 3600

Examiner